Proctoriones A–C: 2-Acylcyclohexane-1,3-dione Derivatives from *Peperomia* proctorii

Navindra P. Seeram,[†] Andrew W. Lewis,[†] Helen Jacobs,^{*,†} Muraleedharan G. Nair,[‡] Stewart McLean,[§] and William F. Reynolds^{*,§}

Department of Chemistry, University of the West Indies, Mona, Jamaica, Department of Horticultural Sciences, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48824, and Department of Chemistry, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada, M5S 1A1

Received September 10, 1999

The structures of three new compounds isolated from *Peperomia proctorii*, named proctoriones A–C, have been established by spectroscopic and chemical methods as 2,3-dihydro-5,8-dihydroxy-2-pentadecyl-4H-benzopyran-4-one (1) and enolic forms of 4-hydroxy-2-octadecanoylcyclohexane-1,3-dione (2) and 4-hydroxy-2-octadec-(11*Z*)-enoylcyclohexane-1,3-dione (3).

Recent studies have shown that the phytochemistry of Peperomia¹ (Piperaceae) differs significantly from that of the closely related and more well-studied genus Piper.² Many Peperomia metabolites, especially those of mixed acetate and mevalonate biogenesis, possess interesting biological activity.¹ We have examined extracts of Peperomia proctorii Yuncker, one of the 13 Peperomia species endemic to Jamaica.³ P. proctorii is a small glabrous succulent herb of infrequent occurrence that grows in restricted and vulnerable habitats on rock ledges in woodlands.3

Chromatography of the hexane extract of whole plants of *P. proctorii* resulted in the isolation of proctoriones A, B, and C (1-3), in order of increasing polarity.

Proctorione A (1) was obtained as a yellow solid, mp 100-103 °C, and HREIMS provided a molecular formula of C₂₄H₃₈O₄, requiring six degrees of unsaturation. The IR bands at 3481, 3232, 1640, 1622, and 1584 cm⁻¹ indicated the presence of hydroxyl, hydrogen-bonded carbonyl, and aromatic groups. The ¹H NMR spectrum of **1** (Table 1) contained a singlet at δ 10.94 ascribable to a chelated hydroxyl group, a pair of ortho-coupled one-proton aromatic doublets at δ 7.08 and 6.43, a fairly complex one-proton multiplet at δ 4.49 due to an oxymethine group, and signals for two deshielded methylene groups at δ 2.75 (2H) and 1.90 and 1.73. In addition, there were a large methylene envelope (20H) centered at δ 1.4, a distorted methyl triplet at δ 0.87, and a broad peak at δ 4.93 for an unchelated hydroxyl group. Associations in the ¹H–¹H COSY spectrum indicated vicinal coupling between the oxymethine proton and both deshielded methylene groups, with the methylene pair at higher field (δ 1.90, 1.73) also coupled to protons in the methylene envelope; this established the side-chain and C-3 as branching from the oxygen-substituted C-2.

The ¹³C NMR spectrum of 1 (Table 1) showed the expected carbonyl signal at δ 197.65 and peaks for six aromatic carbons; all the remaining peaks were due to sp³ centers. The most deshielded of the sp³ carbons occurred at δ 78.9, 42.6, and 34.7, and all the other carbons resonated upfield of δ 32. On the basis of an HMBC correlation, the carbonyl group was located at position C-4, vicinal to the deshielded C-3 methylene group. Positions

Table 1.	NMR	Data	for	Proctorione	А	(1)
----------	-----	------	-----	-------------	---	-----

		$\delta_{ m H}$	
position	δ_{C}	(mult; $J_{\rm H,H}$ in Hz)	HMBC ^a
2	78.9	4.49 (ddd; 9.4, 5.6, 3.8)	3, 1′
3	42.6	2.78 (dd; 17.0, 11.0)	1′
		2.72 (dd; 17.0, 3.9)	
4	197.7		3
4a	107.9		
5	154.5		OH-5, 6, 7,
6	108.3	6.43 (d; 8.6)	OH-5
7	124.1	7.08 (d; 8.6)	OH-8
8	136.7		7
8a	146.9		7, OH-8
1′	34.7	1.9 (m; 5.7)	3
		1.74 (dddd;14.0, 5.7)	
2′	31.9	1.26 (m)	
3'-12'	29.4 - 29.7	1.20–1.64 (m)	
13′	24.9	1.50 (m)	
14'	22.9	1.20–1.64 (m)	15'
15'	14.1	0.87 (t; 5.0)	
OH-5		10.94 (s)	
OH-8		4.93 (s)	

^a Protons correlating with carbon shift.

C-1 through C-4 were then fused to the aromatic ring, fulfilling the requisite hydrogen deficit. A hydroxyl group, chelated to the carbonyl, was placed at C-5. Strong threebond and weak two-bond HMBC cross-peaks in the aromatic region enabled placement of the second hydroxyl group at C-8. Key connectivities were C-5→OH-5,H-6, H-7 and C-8a→OH-8,H-7; these ruled out the alternative isomer in which the second nonchelated hydroxyl group is located at C-6.

Proctorione B (2), a colorless solid, mp 45-48 °C, gave a molecular ion in the HREIMS corresponding to a formula of C₂₄H₄₂O₄. Infrared absorptions at 3426, 1659, 1557, and 1445 cm⁻¹ provided evidence for hydroxyl, chelated carbonyl, and vinyl functionalities. The ¹H NMR spectrum of 2 (Table 2) was initially determined using a normal spectral width (12 ppm). In this window the peaks at lowest field were a well-defined multiplet at δ 4.08 due to an oxymethine proton and a broad signal at δ 4.04 for an unchelated hydroxyl group. There were also three deshielded methylene pairs (δ 3.16–1.80) and signals for a long saturated side chain consisting of a methylene envelope (30H) centered at δ 1.6 and a distorted methyl triplet at δ 0.88. Analysis of the ¹H-¹H COSY spectrum led to the formulation of two linear protonated sequences. The oxymethine and two of the deshielded methylene groups formed one

© 2000 American Chemical Society and American Society of Pharmacognosy Published on Web 02/18/2000

10.1021/np990447p CCC: \$19.00

^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel.: (876) 935-8409. Fax: (876) 977-1835. E-mail: hjacobs@uwimona.edu.jm.

University of the West Indies.

[‡] Michigan State University.

[§] University of Toronto.

Table 2.	NMR	Data	for	Proctoriones	В	(2)	and	С	(3))
----------	-----	------	-----	--------------	---	-----	-----	---	-----	---

	Ź				
position	ðc	$\delta_{\rm H}$ (mult: $L_{\rm HH}$ in Hz)	δε	$\delta_{\rm H}$ (mult: $h_{\rm HH}$ in Hz)	HMBC ^a 2 3
Position	٥ <u>ر</u>	(mart, o _{H,H} m mz)	٥ <u>ر</u>	(mare, o _{H,H} m m)	
1	197.9		197.9		OH-1 ^{<i>b</i>} , 5 ^{<i>b</i>} , 6 ^{<i>b</i>}
2	110.3		110.2		OH-1 ^{<i>b</i>} , 6^{b}
3	195.5		193.5		OH-4 ^{<i>b</i>} , 4 ^{<i>b</i>} , 5-ax ^{<i>c</i>}
4	71.6	4.08 (ddd; 15.0, 7.5, 2.0)	71.6	4.08 (ddd; 15.0, 7.5, 2.0)	OH-4 ^b , 5-ax, 6
5	27.1	1.80 (ddt; 15.0, 13.2, 8.4)	27.1	1.81 (ddt; 15.0, 13.2, 8.4)	OH- 4^{b} , 4^{b} , 6^{b}
		2.40 (m)		2.40 (m)	
6	31.3	2.80 (m)	31.8	2.76 (m)	OH-1 ^b , 5-ax ^b
1'	206.1		206.1		2', 3'
2′	40.3	2.96 (ddd; 13.0, 7.8, 5.3)	40.2	2.96 (ddd; 13.0, 7.8, 5.3)	3′
		3.06 (ddd; 13.0, 7.8, 5.3)		3.09 (ddd,13.0, 7.8, 5.3)	
3′	24.5	1.62 (m)	24.5	1.62 (m)	2′
4'	29.3		J		2'
5'	29.5]	29.1 - 29.7	1.20-1.42 (m)	11' ^c , 12' ^c
6'-9'	1		J		
10′			27.2	2.01 (m)	11' ^c . 12' ^c
11'			129.9	$5.35 (d \cdot 10.5)^d$	10' 13'
12'	29.4 - 29.7	(1.20-1.42 (m))	129.8	5.35 (d: 10.5) ^d	10'c 13'c
13'			27.2	2.01 (m)	11 ^{'c} , 12 ^{'c}
14'			1291-297]	,
15'			20.1 20.7	120-142 (m)	
16'	1		316		11'c 15'c 18'c
17	22.7	1 28 (m)	22.5	1.48 (a: 7.5)	18'
18'	1/1 1	0.88(t; 7.0)	1/1	0.88(t; 7.5)	10
0U 1	14.1	18.28 (c)	14.1	18.26 (c)	
		4.04 (br s)		4.01 (br s)	
011-4		4.04 (DI S)		4.01 (DI S)	

^a Protons correlating with carbon shift. ^b **2** only. ^c **3** only. ^d From ¹³C satellites.

sequence, i.e., H-4–H₂-5–H₂-6. The *J* values in the multiplets for this fragment indicated that it was part of a sixmembered ring with the oxymethine H-4 being ψ -axial and the hydroxyl group ψ -equatorial. In the other sequence, the third deshielded methylene pair (δ 2.96, 3.06) was coupled into the methylene envelope via a CH₂ group at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.62, giving the H₂-2'–H₂-3'–H₂-4' through H₃-18' side chain.

There were HMBC cross-peaks from three lowfield carbons to the methylene, methine, and hydroxyl protons of the first sequence. The C-3→H-4,OH-4,H₂-5 correlations suggested that this carbon (δ 195.5) was vicinal to the hydroxymethine group. The C-1 \rightarrow H₂-6,H₂-5 correlations placed C-1 (δ 197.9) vicinal to C-6, and the six-membered ring was completed by C-2, (δ 110.3), which showed a crosspeak to H_2 -6. The chemical shifts of C-1, C-2, and C-3 (δ 197.9, 110.3, and 195.5) corresponded closely to those observed for an enolized β -triketone system.⁴ The remaining carbonyl signal (δ 206.1) showed two- and three-bond correlations to protons in the side chain to provide the acyl group, which was placed at C-2. This completed the enolized β -triketone and the structure of proctorione B (2) except for the C-1 enolic proton; this was observed at δ 18.28 when the ¹H NMR spectrum was acquired in a spectral window of 20 ppm. HMBC cross-peaks between this signal and those for C-1, C-2, and C-6 established that in 2 the C-1 carbonyl is enolized and ruled out the alternative C-3 enol.

Proctorione C (3), an oil, had a molecular formula of $C_{24}H_{40}O_4$. Similarities in the spectral data to that of proctorione B (2) indicated that 3 was also a 2-acylcyclohexane-1,3-dione derivative. From the molecular formula and NMR signals for two vinyl methine groups (Table 2), it was deduced that 3 was a side chain dehydro derivative of 2.

The vinyl protons in the side chain of **3** are degenerate, and the ¹H NMR signal (δ 5.35, 2H) gave no coupling or stereochemical information. The *Z* stereochemistry was deduced by analysis of the ¹³C satellites, which gave ³J_{H,H} = 10.5 Hz, with a chemical shift difference between

the vinyl protons of 0.004 ppm (2 Hz at 500 MHz). Additionally, the carbons determined by COSY and HMBC to be allylic to the double bond both appeared at δ 27.2, corresponding to known chemical shifts (δ 27.2)⁵ of carbons allylic to cis double bonds and differing significantly from carbon shifts of positions allylic to trans double bonds (δ 32.5).⁵

The double bond was tentatively placed at C-11' by comparison of the ¹³C NMR shifts of the vinyl carbons with reported data for model compounds. Gunstone and coworkers have correlated chemical shifts of vinyl carbons in monoene C₁₈-*cis*-alkenoic acids with double-bond position and configuration.⁶ The chemical shifts of the vinyl carbons of proctorione C (**3**; δ 129.9, 129.8) corresponded more closely to those of octadec-(11*Z*)-enoic acid (δ 129.89, 129.86) than to octadec-(9*Z*)-enoic acid (δ 129.78, 130.02), with the latter being almost identical to the values reported for octadec-(9*Z*)-enoylcyclohexane-1,3-dione (δ 130.0, 130.0).^{9c,10a}

Confirmation of the C-11' location of the double bond of **3** was obtained by methylthiomethylation $-MS.^7$ LREIMS of the product obtained by treatment of the acetate derivative (**4**) with dimethyl disulfide produced major ions at *m*/*z* 383 (20%) and *m*/*z* 145 (54%), corresponding to cleavage of a C-11'-C-12' dithiomethyl adduct (**5**).

The residue of polar fractions obtained from chromatography of the acetone extract of *P. proctorii* was acetylated. Further chromatography of the crude product afforded the diacetate of (*E*)-*N*-feruoyltyramine, identified from its spectral data and comparison of these and physical constants with literature values.⁸

Proctoriones A–C (**1**–**3**) are likely of acetogenin and fatty acid biogenetic origin. Proctoriones B and C (**2**, **3**) are closely related to the series of kairomonal 2-acylcyclohexane-1,3-diones identified in the mandibular gland secretion of larvae of the Mediterranean flour moth *Ephestia kuehniella*.⁹ In these kairomones, the C₁₆ and C₁₈ side chains are all unsaturated at one or more positions, with the Δ^9 isomer of proctorione C (**3**) being one member of the C₁₈



group. The occurrence of these lepidopterous kairomones in plants is not unprecedented, as similar structures have been reported from *Virola* species (Myrsinaceae).¹⁰ The accumulation of such large quantities in *P. proctorii* (0.5% of dried plant material) is intriguing, but at present the ecological significance remains a matter for speculation.

Experimental Section

General Experimental Procedures. Melting points were determined on a Thomas-Hoover capillary melting point apparatus. Optical rotations were measured on a Perkin-Elmer 241MC polarimeter. IR spectra were recorded on a FT–IR SPECTRUM 1000 spectrometer with KBr pellets for solids or NaCl disks for liquids. UV spectra were recorded in EtOH on a Perkin-Elmer UV/VIS/NIR Lambda 19 spectrometer. NMR spectra were obtained on Varian GEMINI-200 and UNITY-500 spectrometers with CDCl₃ as solvent and TMS as internal standard. EIMS were obtained at 70 eV on VG 70-250S mass spectrometer. Adsorption column chromatography was performed with Si gel 60 (230–400 mesh). TLC analysis was performed with Whatman precoated Si gel 60 F_{254} plates. Spots were visualized under UV and by spraying with 4% phosphomolybdic acid in 5% H_2SO_4 followed by heating.

Plant Material. Entire plants of *P. proctorii* were collected at Mango Tree Hill, Trelawny and Gourie Forest, Manchester, Jamaica, in September 1996. A voucher specimen (no. 34251) is deposited in the Herbarium, Department of Life Sciences, University of the West Indies, Mona, Jamaica.

Extraction and Isolation. The dried, ground plant material (83 g) was percolated with hexane at room temperature, and the extract taken to dryness under reduced pressure. The hexane extract (9 g) was chromatographed over Si gel and eluted with Me₂CO–hexane mixtures. The residue from the 5% Me₂CO–hexane fraction (3.3 g) was rechromatographed, eluting with EtOAc–hexane mixtures. Proctorione A (1) (14 mg) crystallized from the 5% EtOAc–hexane fractions. The residue from evaporation of the 10% EtOAc–hexane fractions

was triturated with MeOH leaving a MeOH-insoluble white solid consisting of proctorione B (2) (20 mg). Proctorione C (3) (408 mg) was obtained as an oil after evaporation of the 15% EtOAc-hexane fraction.

The Me₂CO extract (2 g) of the marc after hexane extraction was chromatographed, eluting with Me₂CO–hexane mixtures. The residue (497 mg) from the 70% Me₂CO–hexane fractions was stirred overnight with Ac₂O–pyridine. Workup provided a gum (250 mg) that was chromatographed twice, again eluting with Me₂CO–hexane. Fractions eluted with 40% Me₂CO–hexane provided (*E*)-*N*-feruolyltyramine diacetate (40 mg), identified by comparison of physical and spectral data to literature values.⁸

Proctorione A (1): yellow needles (EtOAc-hexane); mp 100–103°; [α]_D –75.0° (*c* 0.01, EtOH); UV λ_{max} (log ϵ) 242 (5.03), 276 (4.49) nm, +KOH (log ϵ) 262 (5.09), 292 (4.99) nm; IR ν_{max} 3481, 3232, 1640, 1622, 1584 cm⁻¹; ¹H and ¹³C NMR, see Table 1; EIMS *m*/*z* 390 [M]⁺ (71), 179 (74), 153 (100), 124 (6); HREIMS *m*/*z* 390.2772 (calcd for C₂₈H₃₈O₄) 390.2770.

Proctorione B (2): white solid (MeOH), mp 45–48°; $[\alpha]_D$ +53.6° (*c* 0.01, EtOH); UV λ_{max} (log ϵ) 232 (4.94), 272 (5.07) nm, +KOH (log ϵ) 268 (5.29) nm; IR ν_{max} 3426 1659, 1557, 1445 cm⁻¹; ¹H and ¹³C NMR, see Table 2; EIMS *m*/*z* 394 [M]⁺ (71), 376 (13), 358 (8), 330 (6), 183 (100), 170 (40), 155 (17), 137 (84); HREIMS *m*/*z* 394.3074 (calcd for C₂₄H₄₂O₄ 394.3084).

Proctorione C (3): oil, $[α]_D - 50^\circ$ (*c* 0.01, EtOH); UV $λ_{max}$ (log ε) 234 (4.40), 270 (4.65) nm, +KOH (log ε) 268 (4.78) nm; IR $ν_{max}$ 3435, 1669, 1654, 1634 cm⁻¹; ¹H and ¹³C NMR, see Table 2; EIMS *m*/*z* 392 [M]⁺ (83), 183 (68), 155 (56), 127 (100); HREIMS *m*/*z* 392.2911 (calcd for C₂₄H₄₀O₄ 392.2927).

Proctorione C Acetate (4). The residue (1.30 g) obtained after evaporation of the MeOH triturate from the isolation of 2 was stirred with Ac₂O and pyridine for 12 h. The oily product (1.0 g) obtained on workup was chromatographed on a Si gel column in an Me₂CO-hexane solvent system to afford proctorione C acetate (4) (71 mg) as a white solid: mp 55-58 °C; IR ν_{max} 1736, 1660, 1535 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz) δ 5.35 (2H, m H-11', H-12'), 5.32 (1H, dd, J = 13.4, 5.2 Hz, H-4), 3.05, 2.94 (each 1H, ddd, J = 13.4, 7.6, 5.0 Hz, H-2'), 2.88-2.83 (3H, m, H-5, H-6), 2.22 (3H, s, OCOCH₃), 2.13 (1H, m, H-5), 2.01 (4H, m, H-10', H-13'), 1.62 (2H, m, H-3'), 1.22-1.38 20H, m, H-4'-H-9', H-14'-H-17'), 0.88 (3H, t, J = 7.0 Hz, H-18'); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz) & 206.5 (C-1'), 196.6 (C-1), 189.5 (C-3), 170.2 (OCOCH3), 129.9 (C-11'), 129.9 (C-12'), 111.4 (C-2), 72.6 (C-4), 40.5 (C-2'), 31.2 (C-6'), 29.8, 29.7, 29.5, 29.4, 29.3, 29.0, 27.2 (C-4'-C10', C-13'-C16'), 24.5 (C-3'), 24.3 (C-5), 22.7 (C-17'), 20.9 (OCOCH₃), 14.1 (C-18'); EIMS m/z 434 [M]⁺ (7), 392 (36), 183 (26), 165 (100); HREIMS m/z 434.3022 (calcd for C₂₆H₄₂O₅ 434.3032).

Methylthiomethylation of 4. Proctorione C acetate (4) (0.22 mg) in hexane (500 μ L) was treated with dimethyl disulfide (250 μ L) and I₂ (2.6 mg in 150 μ L Et₂O), and the reaction mixture was kept overnight at 40–45 °C. The mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature, diluted with hexane (500 μ L), and washed with 0.1 M Na₂S₂O₃ (2 × 200 μ L). The organic phase was removed, the aqueous solution washed with hexane (3 × 200 μ L), and the combined organic extracts dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and evaporated in a stream of argon to produce an amorphous residue: EIMS *m*/*z* 408 (30), 383 (20), 272 (29), 257 (19), 229 (36), 190 (17), 180 (94), 173 (57), 163 (31), 145 (54), 105 (48), 91 (100), 81 (55), 55 (54).

Acknowledgment. We thank Mr. Jonathan Littau of the Conservation Data Center, UWI, and Dr. George R. Proctor for assistance with plant collection and identification. Financial support from the UWI Board for Postgraduate Studies and the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada is gratefully acknowledged.

Supporting Information Available: Structure and NMR data for (*E*)-*N*-feruloyltyramine diacetate (**6**). This material is available free of charge via the internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

References and Notes

- (a) Mahiou, V.; Roblot, F.; Hocquemiller, A.; Cavé, A.; Barrios, A.; Fourner, A.; Ducrot, P.-H. J. Nat. Prod. 1995, 58, 324–328. (b) Mahiou, V.; Roblot, F.; Hocquemiller, A.; Cavé, A.; Rojas de Arias, A.; Inchausti, A.; Yaluff, G.; Fournet, A. J. Nat. Prod. 1996, 59, 694-697
- (2) Parmar, V.; Jain, S.; Bisht, R.; Jain, P.; Taneja, A.; Jha, A.; Tyagi, O.; Prasad, A.; Wengel, J.; Olsen, C.; Boll, P. *Phytochemistry* **1997**, 46, 597-673.

- Adams, C. D. Flowering Plants of Jamaica; The University Press: Glasgow, 1972; pp 210–212.
 Henry, G. E.; Jacobs. H.; McLean, S.; Reynolds, W. F.; Yang, J.-P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 36, 4575–4578.
 Hallock, Y. F.; Cardellina, J. H.; Balaschak, M. S.; Alexander, M. R.; Prather, T. R.; Shoemaker, R. H.; Boyd, M. R. J. Nat. Prod. 1995, 58, 1901–1907. 58, 1801-1807.
- Gunstone, F. D.; Pollard, M. R.; Scrimgeour, C.; Vedanayagam, H. (6)S. Chem. Phys. Lipids 1977, 18, 115–129.

- (7) (a) Gonzalez, M.; De Olivera, C.; Fernandes, J.; Kiijoa, A.; Herz, W. *Phytochemistry* 1996, 43, 1333–1337. (b) Leclerc, S.; Braekman, J. C.; Kaisin, M.; Daloze, D.; Detrain, C.; Biseau, J.; Pasteels, J. M. J. Nat. Prod. 1997, 60, 1143-1147. (c) Scribe, P.; Guezennec, J.; Dagaut,
- Nal. Prod. 1997, 60, 1145–1147. (c) Scribe, F.; Guezennec, J.; Dagaut, J.; Pepe, C.; Saliot, A. Anal. Chem. 1988, 60, 928–931.
 (a) Fukuda, N.; Yonemitsu, M.; Kimura, T. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1983, 31, 156–161. (b) Singh, S.; Prasad, A.; Olsen, C.; Jha, A.; Jain, S.; Parmar, V.; Wengel, J. Phytochemistry 1996, 43, 1355–1360.
 (9) (a) Mudd, A. J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1978, 1075–1076. (c) Mudd, A. J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1978, 1075–1076. (c) Mudd, A. J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1978, 1075–1076. (c) Mudd, A. J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1978, 1075–1076. (c) Mudd, A. J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1978, 1075–1076. (c) Mudd, A. J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1978, 1075–1076. (c) Mudd, A. J. Chem. Soc. Neurophysical Commun. 1978, 1075–1076. (c) Mudd, A. J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1978, 1075–1076. (c) Mudd, A. J. Chem. Soc. Neurophysical Commun. 1978, 1075–1076. (c) Mudd, A. J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1978, 1075–1076. (c) Mudd, A. J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1978, 1075–1076. (c) Mudd, A. J. Chem. Soc. Neurophysical Commun. 1978, 1075–1076. (c) Mudd, A. J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1978, 1075–1076. (c) Mudd, A. J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1978, 1075–1076. (c) Mudd, A. J. Chem. Soc. Neurophysical Commun. 1978, 1075–1076. (c) Mudd, A. J. Chem. Soc. Neurophysical Commun. 1978, 1075–1076. (c) Mudd, A. J. Chem. Soc. Neurophysical Commun. 1978, 1075–1076. (c) Mudd, A. J. Chem. Soc. Neurophysical Commun. 1978, 1075–1076. (c) Mudd, A. J. Chem. Soc. Neurophysical Commun. 1978, 1075–1076. (c) Mudd, A. J. Chem. Soc. Neurophysical Commun. 1978, 1075–1076. (c) Mudd, A. J. Chem. Soc. Neurophysical Commun. 1978, 1075–1076. (c) Mudd, A. J. Chem. Soc. Neurophysical Commun. 1978, 1075–1076. (c) Mudd, A. J. Chem. Soc. Neurophysical Commun. 1978, 1075–1076. (c) Mudd, A. J. Chem. Soc. Neurophysical Commun. 1978, 1075–1076. (c) Mudd, A. J. Chem. Soc. Neurophysical Commun. 1978, 1075–1076. (c) Mudd, A. J. Chem. Soc. Neurophysical Commun. 1978, 1075–1076. (c) Mudd, A. J. Chem. Soc. Neurophysical Commun. 1978, 1075–1076
- A. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1981, 2357–2362. (d) Mudd, A. J. Chem. Ecol. 1985, 11, 51-57.
- (10) (a) Azevedo, N. R.; Santos, S. C.; De Miranda, E. G.; Ferri. P. H. *Phytochemistry* **1997**, *46*, 1375–1377. (b) Kato, M. J.; Lopes, L. M. X.; Fo, H. F.; Gottlieb, O. R. Phytochemistry 1985, 24, 533-536.

NP990447P